

To: CN=Alice Yeh/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]
Cc: CN=Patricia Hick/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Sarah Flanagan/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Eugenia Naranjo/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Stephanie Vaughn/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Sarah Flanagan/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Eugenia Naranjo/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Stephanie Vaughn/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Eugenia Naranjo/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Stephanie Vaughn/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Stephanie Vaughn/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]
Bcc: []
From: CN=Ray Basso/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Tue 1/29/2013 10:43:38 PM
Subject: Re: Peer review charge to CPG?

no need to worry, we are on the same page , see you tomorrow.

-----Alice Yeh/R2/USEPA/US wrote: -----

To: Ray Basso/R2/USEPA/US@EPA
From: Alice Yeh/R2/USEPA/US
Date: 01/29/2013 04:11PM
Cc: Naranjo.Eugenia@epamail.epa.gov, Patricia Hick/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Flanagan.Sarah@epamail.epa.gov, Vaughn.Stephania@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Re: Peer review charge to CPG?

We can discuss, but we are NOT sending the CPG the modeling reports, because those are works in progress - obviously, they are still undergoing peer review.

We only discussed sending the peer review charge, because I thought they asked and it is innocuous enough that it can be sent as a courtesy. But I don't feel strongly about sending or not.

Inactive hide details for Ray Basso---01/29/2013 04:03:30 PM---we should discuss this briefly tomorrow before we send anything out. Ray Basso---01/29/2013 04:03:30 PM---we should discuss this briefly tomorrow before we send anything out. **From:** Eugenia Naranjo/R2/USEPA/

From: Ray Basso/R2/USEPA/US
To: Eugenia Naranjo/R2/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Alice Yeh/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Patricia Hick/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Sarah Flanagan/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Vaughn.Stephania@epamail.epa.gov
Date: 01/29/2013 04:03 PM
Subject: Re: Peer review charge to CPG?

we should discuss this briefly tomorrow before we send anything out.

Inactive hide details for Eugenia Naranjo---01/29/2013 03:59:47 PM---I don't believe we sent them anything on the EMBM peer review. Eugenia Naranjo---01/29/2013 03:59:47 PM---I don't believe we sent them anything on the EMBM peer review. **Right Alice?**

From: Eugenia Naranjo/R2/USEPA/US
To: Sarah Flanagan/R2/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Alice Yeh/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Patricia Hick/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Ray Basso/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Vaughn.Stephania@epamail.epa.gov
Date: 01/29/2013 03:59 PM
Subject: Re: Peer review charge to CPG?

I don't believe we sent them anything on the EMBM peer review. Right Alice?

-----Sarah Flanagan/R2/USEPA/US@EPA wrote: -----

=====
To: Eugenia Naranjo/R2/USEPA/US@EPA
From: Sarah Flanagan/R2/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 01/29/2013 03:03PM
Cc: Alice Yeh/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Patricia Hick/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Ray Basso/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Vaughn.Stephanie@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Re: Peer review charge to CPG?
=====

What did we do previously?

From: Eugenia Naranjo/R2/USEPA/US
To: Alice Yeh/R2/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Flanagan.Sarah@epamail.epa.gov, Patricia Hick/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Ray Basso/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Vaughn.Stephanie@epamail.epa.gov
Date: 01/29/2013 01:12 PM
Subject: Re: Peer review charge to CPG?

Are we going to send them the reports as well?

From: Alice Yeh/R2/USEPA/US
To: Ray Basso/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Flanagan.Sarah@epamail.epa.gov
Cc: Patricia Hick/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Vaughn.Stephanie@epamail.epa.gov, Naranjo.Eugenia@epamail.epa.gov
Date: 01/29/2013 01:00 PM
Subject: Peer review charge to CPG?

Attached is the peer review charge that was sent to our peer reviewers today. I also sent it to CSTAG, as requested. I believe we wanted to send it to the CPG too, as a courtesy - do you see anything in here that can't be sent? If not, I will have LBG remove the confidentiality header and re-send me the file.

We should probably send this to our Partner Agencies, to let them know that the peer review has started.
[attachment "2013-01-29 LPR FFS Modeling Peer Review Charge.pdf" deleted by Eugenia Naranjo/R2/USEPA/US]